GIS AND AGRICULTURAL MACHINERY SYNERGY IN PRECISION FARMING: CHALLENGES, BENEFITS, AND PROSPECTS

Ibrahim Shettima Bukar, Fatima Yakubu Goni and Musa Abdullahi Lawan

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Federal Polytechnic Monguno, Borno State, Nigeria. DOI:https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15470150 Abstract: This review examines the integration of geographic information systems (GIS) with agricultural machinery in the context of precision agriculture. It discusses the implications of this integration and demonstrates how GIS technology improves and enhances data collection, analysis, and decision-making processes in agriculture. The benefits outlined include improved resource management, increased crop yields, and improved sustainability practices. However, the paper also addresses the challenges of integrating GIS and agricultural machinery, such as high costs, technical complexity, and the need for qualified personnel. Finally, it outlines the prospects for the development of this integration. The potential of advances in technology and data analysis to further optimize agricultural practices is highlighted, focusing on the challenges, risks, and functional implications.

Keywords: GIS Farming, Machinery, Precision Agriculture, and Integration.

INTRODUCTION

Integrating Geographic Information Systems (GIS) with farming machinery has emerged as a transformative approach in precision agriculture, offering numerous implications and benefits while presenting distinct challenges that must be addressed for future advancements. (Kai et al., 2003) (Neményi et al., 2003) (Yousefi & Razdari, 2015).

As the agricultural sector increasingly adopts technology, understanding these dynamics becomes crucial for optimizing resource use, enhancing crop yields, and promoting sustainable practices. One significant aspect of this integration is data analytics' role in enhancing farmers' decision-making processes. By leveraging advanced algorithms and machine learning techniques, GIS can analyze vast spatial data collected from various sources, including remote sensing technologies and real-time field sensors. This capability not only allows for precise monitoring of crop health and soil conditions but also facilitates predictive modeling that can anticipate future agricultural challenges such as pest outbreaks or nutrient deficiencies (Neményi et al., 2003) (Bregt, 1997) (Kai et al., 2003) (Marthe, 2011). Furthermore, establishing a robust spatial database is a foundation for developing management decision support systems, which can guide farmers in optimizing their operations while minimizing environmental impacts (Szymczuk, 1981). However, realizing these benefits requires overcoming technical barriers, ensuring data interoperability, and effectively addressing farmer education to utilize these sophisticated tools in daily practices. Collaboration among stakeholders, including agronomists, data scientists, and technology

providers, is essential to create user-friendly interfaces that translate complex data into actionable insights for farmers (Kai et al., 2003) (Bregt, 1997). Moreover, ongoing research and development efforts must focus on integrating emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence and machine learning to enhance the predictive capabilities of these systems, ultimately leading to more resilient agricultural practices (Kai et al., 2003) (Usery et al., 1995) (GIS Applications in Agriculture, 2022) (Sharma & Srushtideep, 2022).

These advancements can empower farmers to make informed decisions that boost productivity and promote sustainable land use and resource management, ensuring the long-term viability of agricultural ecosystems (Lia, 2015).

By fostering a culture of innovation and continuous learning, the agricultural sector can adapt to changing environmental conditions and market demands, paving the way for a more sustainable future (Lia, 2015). Additionally, collaboration between researchers, technology developers, and farmers is essential to create tailored solutions that address specific regional challenges, thereby maximizing the impact of these innovations on local farming communities (Sharma & Srushtideep, 2022) (GIS Applications in Agriculture, 2022). This collaborative approach can lead to the development of precision agriculture techniques, which utilize data analytics and IoT devices to optimize crop yields while minimizing waste and environmental impact. (Kavitha et al., 2022) (Ang, 2022).

Implications, Benefits, and Challenges

The implications of these advancements are profound, offering benefits such as increased efficiency, reduced resource consumption, and improved food security. Still, they also bring challenges related to access to technology, training, and the need for supportive policies to promote sustainable practices (Masud Cheema & Khan, 2019) (Delgado et al., 2019) (Colizzi et al., 2020). Furthermore, fostering partnerships among stakeholders can facilitate knowledge sharing and capacity building, ensuring that all parties are equipped to navigate the complexities of modern agriculture. Additionally, addressing the digital divide is crucial, as equitable access to technology will empower marginalized farmers and promote inclusivity in agricultural innovation. Moreover, investing in research and development will be essential to drive innovation forward, enabling the creation of tailored solutions that meet the specific needs of diverse farming communities (Masud Cheema & Khan, 2019) (Sakthi & Dafni Rose, 2020) (Rakhra & Singh, 2021).

By prioritizing these areas, we can create a resilient agricultural system that meets current demands and anticipates future challenges, ultimately leading to a more sustainable and equitable food system for all. By prioritizing these areas, we can create a resilient agricultural system that meets current demands and anticipates future challenges, ultimately leading to a more sustainable and equitable food system for all (Finger, 2023) (El Bilali et al., 2019). (Mathew Jacob et al., 2020).

This approach will require collaboration among governments, private sectors, and local farmers to ensure that innovations are accessible and beneficial to everyone involved.

In addition to fostering collaboration among various stakeholders, integrating GIS with farming machinery can significantly enhance environmental stewardship by promoting precision nutrient management and targeted pest control. Farmers can apply fertilizers and pesticides more judiciously by utilizing geospatial data, reducing runoff into surrounding ecosystems, and minimizing chemical usage overall (Masud Cheema & Khan, 2019) (SARKAR

et al., 2023). This contributes to better soil health and supports biodiversity in agricultural landscapes, aligning with sustainable development goals. Furthermore, as climate change continues to impact agricultural productivity, these technologies can provide critical insights into adaptive strategies that help mitigate risks associated with extreme weather events, ensuring food security for future generations (Masud Cheema & Khan, 2019) (El Bilali et al., 2019). As such, embracing this technological evolution is essential for creating resilient agricultural systems capable of thriving amidst uncertainty (Delgado et al., 2019) (Srivastava, 2018) (Ellis-Jones et al., 2017). Additionally, integrating precision agriculture with data analytics can enhance decision-making processes, allowing farmers to optimize crop yields while conserving resources and protecting the environment (Fastellini & Schillaci, 2020) (Chiappini et al., 2019).

Future Perspectives of GIS-Farm Machinery

As the industry evolves, the synergy between GIS and farm machinery will likely lead to innovations that further streamline operations, reduce waste, and promote sustainable practices across various farming sectors (Masud Cheema & Khan, 2019) (Delgado et al., 2019) (El Bilali et al., 2019). This integration could enable real-time monitoring of soil health, crop conditions, and weather patterns, empowering farmers to make informed choices that align with both economic viability and ecological stewardship (Finger, 2023) (Simelton & McCampbell, 2021) (The Role of Innovative Technologies in Sustainability, 2022) (Pandya & Lal, 2023) (Pandey & Pandey, 2023). Moreover, advancements in automation and robotics will complement these technologies, facilitating tasks such as planting, harvesting, and pest management with greater efficiency and precision (Simelton & McCampbell, 2021) (Fleming et al., 2021). These developments will enhance productivity and contribute to a more resilient agricultural system capable of adapting to the challenges posed by climate change and resource scarcity. As the integration of GIS with farming machinery continues to evolve, it becomes increasingly important to address the implications of data privacy and security in agricultural practices. With the reliance on cloudbased systems for storing vast amounts of sensitive information, farmers must navigate concerns regarding unauthorized access and potential data misuse. This issue is compounded by the fact that precision agriculture often involves collaboration across various stakeholders, including tech companies and agronomists, which raises questions about data ownership and sharing protocols (Reddy & Rao, 2009) (Kavitha et al., 2022) (GIS Applications in Agriculture, 2022).

Furthermore, as remote sensing technologies become more sophisticated, ensuring compliance with regulations related to data protection will be essential to foster trust among users while maximizing the benefits of these innovations. By proactively establishing robust frameworks for data governance, the agricultural sector can not only safeguard individual farmer interests but also enhance collective resilience against emerging challenges posed by climate change and market fluctuations (Applications of Geospatial Technologies for Precision Agriculture, 2023) (Precision Agriculture for Sustainable Soil and Crop Management, 2022). This collaborative approach can lead to the development of best practices that prioritize transparency and accountability, ultimately driving sustainable growth in the industry (Delgado et al., 2019) (Mylonas et al., 2020)

Also, fostering partnerships between technology providers and agricultural stakeholders will be crucial in creating tailored solutions addressing specific regional needs and challenges. Additionally, fostering partnerships between technology providers and agricultural stakeholders will be essential in developing tailored solutions that address specific regional needs and challenges (Delgado et al., 2019) (Ukhurebor et al., 2022)

By leveraging innovative technologies such as precision agriculture, data analytics, and remote sensing, stakeholders can optimize resource use, improve crop yields, and reduce environmental impact, ensuring a more sustainable future for all involved (Delgado et al., 2019). Moreover, continuous education and training for farmers on these technologies will empower them to make informed decisions, enhancing their resilience against climate change and market fluctuations. This collaborative approach will improve productivity and promote a culture of innovation within the agricultural sector, paving the way for long-term sustainability and economic growth (Masud Cheema & Khan, 2019). As partnerships between governments, private sectors, and local communities strengthen, the potential for scalable solutions increases, fostering an ecosystem where sustainable practices can thrive and be replicated across different regions (Simelton & McCampbell, 2021) (&, 2023).

This synergy will ultimately lead to improved food security as diverse agricultural practices are adopted and tailored to local conditions, ensuring that communities can adapt to changing environmental challenges while meeting the demands of a growing population. Furthermore, investment in research and development will be crucial in identifying innovative techniques and technologies that enhance resilience, enabling farmers to manage resources better and reduce waste. In this context, education and training programs will also be essential, equipping farmers with the knowledge and skills needed to implement these advancements effectively (SARKAR et al., 2023) (Saber, 2022) (Raturi, 2022).

By fostering collaboration among agricultural stakeholders, including governments, NGOs, and private sectors, we can create a robust support system that encourages sustainable practices and empowers local communities to take charge of their food systems. This holistic approach addresses immediate food security concerns and promotes long-term sustainability, ensuring that future generations can thrive in a healthy environment.

Integration in Precision Agriculture

A vital component of this strategy is utilizing technology to optimize crop yields while minimizing environmental impact. Furthermore, the espousal of data-driven decision-making tools will enable farmers to monitor their fields in real-time, allowing for timely interventions that can significantly enhance productivity and resource efficiency. Additionally, training programs focused on digital literacy will equip farmers with the necessary skills to leverage these technologies effectively, fostering a culture of innovation and resilience within agricultural communities. Moreover, partnerships between tech companies and local agrarian organizations can facilitate access to these tools, ensuring that even smallholder farmers benefit from advancements in precision agriculture (SARKAR et al., 2023) (Bökle et al., 2022) (Sustainable Efficient Solutions for Smart Agriculture, 2022). These collaborative efforts can lead to the development of tailored solutions that address specific regional challenges, ultimately driving sustainable growth and food security. As these initiatives gain traction, we can expect to see a shift in traditional farming practices, with data-driven decision-making becoming the norm rather than the exception (Hanson & Heeks, 2020) (Kasim, 2013) (SARKAR et al., 2023)

This transformation will enhance productivity and empower farmers to adapt to changing climate conditions, optimize resource use, and improve overall crop yields. Furthermore, education and training programs will play a crucial role in equipping farmers with the necessary skills to leverage these technologies effectively (The Role of Innovative Technologies in Sustainability, 2022). In addition, partnerships between technology providers and agricultural organizations will foster innovation, ensuring that advancements are accessible to all farmers,

regardless of size or location (&, 2023) (Wan Nor Haliza et al., 2022). As these collaborations flourish, we may also witness the emergence of new business models that prioritize sustainability and resilience, ultimately leading to a more robust agricultural ecosystem (Hanson & Heeks, 2020) (Das et al., n.d.).

By integrating sustainable practices with cutting-edge technology, the agricultural sector can meet the demands of a growing population and contribute positively to environmental conservation efforts. This holistic approach will empower farmers to adapt to changing climate conditions, enhance productivity, and improve their livelihoods while safeguarding natural resources for future generations. Furthermore, education and training programs will equip farmers with the necessary skills to implement these innovations effectively (Simelton & McCampbell, 2021) (Dar et al., n.d.).

As these initiatives gain traction, partnerships between governments, NGOs, and private sectors will be essential in fostering an environment where knowledge sharing and resource allocation can thrive, ensuring all stakeholders benefit from the transition towards a more sustainable agricultural framework. This collaborative effort will enhance food security and promote resilience within rural communities, ultimately leading to a more equitable distribution of resources and opportunities (Das et al., n.d.). By prioritizing sustainable practices, we can also mitigate the impacts of climate change, creating a more stable environment for future agricultural endeavors.

In addition, leveraging technology such as precision agriculture and data analytics can further optimize resource use, reduce waste, and increase crop yields, paving the way for a more efficient and sustainable farming landscape. Moreover, investing in education and training programs for farmers will empower them to adopt these innovative practices, fostering a culture of continuous improvement and adaptation to changing environmental conditions (Masud Cheema & Khan, 2019) (Sustainable Efficient Solutions for Smart Agriculture, 2022).

Limitations and Risks of GIS-Farming Machinery Integration in Precision Agriculture While integrating Geographic Information Systems (GIS) with farming machinery is often hailed as a revolutionary step in precision agriculture, it is imperative to recognize this technology's limitations and potential risks. The agricultural sector's increasing reliance on technology can lead to over-dependence, which may not always yield the anticipated benefits. A critical examination of this integration reveals that, despite its promises (Koubouris, n.d.) (Masud Cheema & Khan, 2019), significant concerns could hinder its effectiveness and sustainability.

One primary concern is the overwhelming complexity of data analytics involved in GIS. While advanced algorithms and machine learning techniques can process vast amounts of spatial data, the reality is that many farmers may struggle to understand or effectively utilize this information. This complexity can lead to misinterpretation of data (Reddy & Rao, 2009) (Kavitha et al., 2022), resulting in poor decision-making that could adversely affect crop health and soil conditions. Moreover, the reliance on predictive modeling raises questions about the accuracy of these models, especially in the face of unpredictable environmental variables such as climate change or market fluctuations.

Additionally, establishing a robust spatial database is not a straightforward task. It requires significant investment in technology and infrastructure, which may not be feasible for smallscale or marginalized farmers. This creates a risk of exacerbating inequalities within the agricultural sector (Bill et al., 2011) (Reddy & Rao, 2009), as those without access to the necessary resources may be left behind. Furthermore, while important, the emphasis on

collaboration among stakeholders may lead to conflicts of interest, particularly regarding data ownership and sharing. Farmers may find themselves caught between competing agendas, complicating their ability to make informed choices (Bill et al., 2011) (Reddy & Rao, 2009). Moreover, the ongoing research and development efforts aimed at integrating emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence and machine learning may not always align with the practical needs of farmers. There is a risk that these innovations could prioritize technological advancement over the realities of agricultural practice, leading to solutions that are not userfriendly or applicable in real-world scenarios (Haworth et al., n.d.) (Kourgialas, n.d.) (Neményi et al., n.d.). This disconnect could ultimately undermine the goal of promoting sustainable land use and resource management.

Implications, Risks, and Future Considerations

The implications of these technological advancements must be viewed through a critical lens. While proponents argue that GIS integration can lead to increased efficiency and reduced resource consumption (Bill et al., 2011) (Reddy & Rao, 2009), the reality is that the initial costs of implementation and ongoing maintenance can be prohibitively expensive for many farmers. This financial burden could deter investment in these technologies (Bregt, 1997)

(Reddy & Rao, 2009), limiting their widespread adoption and leaving many farmers at a disadvantage.

Furthermore, the challenges associated with technology access and farmer training cannot be overlooked. The assumption that all farmers can quickly adapt to these sophisticated tools is flawed. Many farmers may lack the necessary digital literacy or access to reliable internet (Sarmah et al., 2018) (Sarmah et al., 2018), which can hinder their ability to engage with GIS technologies effectively. This digital divide could perpetuate existing inequalities within the agricultural sector, undermining the goal of inclusivity in agricultural innovation (Jones, n.d.) (Nazarov et al., n.d.).

In addition, the emphasis on environmental stewardship through precision nutrient management and targeted pest control may not always yield positive outcomes. The increased use of geospatial data and technology may lead to a false sense of security, causing farmers to rely heavily on data-driven decisions rather than traditional agricultural knowledge and practices (Masud Cheema & Khan, 2019) (El Bilali et al., 2019). This could result in unintended consequences, such as the over-application of fertilizers and pesticides, which can harm soil health and disrupt local ecosystems.

As the integration of GIS with farming machinery continues to evolve, it is crucial to address the implications of data privacy and security more rigorously. The reliance on cloud-based systems for storing sensitive information poses significant risks, including unauthorized access and potential misuse of data (El Bilali et al., 2019) (SARKAR et al., 2023). This concern is particularly relevant in an industry where trust is paramount. Farmers may be hesitant to adopt these technologies if they feel their data is not secure, limiting the potential benefits of GIS integration (Zhang et al., n.d.) (Marshall et al., n.d.).

Lastly, while fostering partnerships between technology providers and agricultural stakeholders is essential, it is equally important to ensure that these collaborations prioritize the needs and voices of farmers (Duncan et al., n.d.) (Fadul-Pacheco et al., n.d.) (Atik, n.d.). Tailored solutions must be developed with direct input from the agricultural community to avoid implementing technologies that do not address the specific challenges faced by diverse farming

practices (Adereti et al., n.d.). Continuous education and training must also be approached cautiously, focusing on practical, applicable knowledge rather than merely promoting the latest technological trends.

Conclusion

We must recognize the interconnectedness of these efforts and work collaboratively across sectors to build a resilient agricultural framework that supports farmers and enhances food security for all communities. By prioritizing sustainable practices and embracing technological advancements, we can ensure that future generations inherit a thriving agricultural system capable of meeting the demands of a growing population. This holistic approach will mitigate climate change's impacts and promote biodiversity, ensuring that ecosystems remain robust and productive (Kangogo et al., n.d.) (Jamil et al., n.d.)

(Internet of Things, Big Data Analytics, and Deep Learning for Sustainable Precision Agriculture, n.d.).

Furthermore, engaging local communities in decision-making will empower them to take ownership of their agricultural practices, leading to more effective and culturally relevant solutions. Incorporating education and training programs will also be essential, equipping farmers with the knowledge and skills needed to adapt to changing conditions and innovate within their practices (Kangogo et al., n.d.) (Rahman, n.d.) (Chandra, n.d.). By fostering collaboration between researchers, policymakers, and farmers, we can create a dynamic network that supports sustainable practices and drives continuous improvement in the sector. This network can facilitate sharing best practices and resources, ultimately enhancing resilience against environmental challenges while promoting economic stability for farming communities. Furthermore, leveraging technology such as mobile applications and data analytics can provide farmers with real-time information on weather patterns, market trends, and pest management, enabling them to make informed decisions that optimize their yields and reduce losses (Dar et al., n.d.) (Dempewolf et al., n.d.) (Pham, n.d.). Training programs focused on digital literacy will also empower farmers to utilize these tools effectively, ensuring they are not left behind in the rapidly evolving agricultural landscape. Integrating Geographic Information Systems (GIS) with farming machinery represents a pivotal advancement in precision agriculture, offering a multifaceted approach to enhancing agricultural productivity and sustainability. This review highlights this integration's significant implications, benefits, and challenges, emphasizing the necessity for a collaborative framework among stakeholders, including farmers, technology providers, and researchers (Masud Cheema & Khan, 2019).

As the agricultural sector increasingly embraces technological innovations, data analytics becomes paramount in informing decision-making processes, optimizing resource utilization, and improving crop yields (Delgado et al., 2019) (Muthoni Micheni et al., n.d.). However, realizing the full potential of GIS-farming machinery integration requires addressing technical barriers, ensuring data interoperability, and providing adequate training for farmers to navigate these sophisticated tools effectively. Furthermore, fostering partnerships and investing in research and development is essential to creating tailored solutions that meet the diverse needs of agricultural communities (Zakari et al., 2019) (Haworth et al., n.d.) (Zakari et al., 2019). This holistic approach not only aims to enhance productivity and food security but also seeks to promote environmental stewardship and resilience against the challenges posed by climate change. By prioritizing sustainable practices and embracing technological advancements, the agricultural sector can pave the way for a more equitable and sustainable food system that benefits current and future generations (Simelton & McCampbell, 2021)

(Singh et al., 2015). In this context, collaboration among stakeholders, including governments, NGOs, and private enterprises, will be crucial to drive innovation and ensure that these advancements are accessible to all farmers, regardless of their scale or resources.

While integrating GIS with farming machinery presents opportunities for innovation in precision agriculture (Mathenge et al., 2022), it is essential to assess its limitations and risks critically. A balanced approach that considers the realities of farming practices, the potential for inequality, and the importance of data security will be necessary to create a sustainable agricultural future. (The Digitalisation of Agriculture, n.d.). This will ensure that advancements benefit all stakeholders and contribute to a resilient food system that can adapt to changing environmental and economic conditions (GIS Applications in Agriculture, 2022).

References

- Kai, A., Gaodi, X., Yunfa, L., & Yu, X. (2003). Design of farmland is for precision agriculture. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11769-003-0079-3
- Neményi, M., Mesterházi, P. Á., Pecze, Z., & Stépán, Z. (2003). The role of GIS and GPS in precision farming. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1699(03)00010-3
- Yousefi, M. R., & Razdari, A. M. (2015). Application of gis and gps in precision agriculture (a review).
- Bregt, A. K. (1997). GIS support for precision agriculture: problems and possibilities. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470515419.CH11
- Marthe, C. (2011). precious metal watch strap, has connecting tubes to support, surround, and guide connection and hinge pins, where each tube is positioned within hollow shell through guiding hole that traverses side wall of shell (Patent).
- Szymczuk, M. (1981). A Computer Program for Equating Two Rasch-Calibrated Tests. https://doi.org/10.1177/001316448104100121
- Usery, E. L., Pocknee, S., & Boydell, B B. (1995). Precision farming data management using geographic information systems.
- GIS Applications in Agriculture. (2022). GIS Applications in Agriculture. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.104786
- Sharma, S., & Srushtideep, A. (2022). Precision Agriculture and Its https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2022/v34i242630
- Lia, C. (2015). Application Progress and Prospect of GIS in Precision Agriculture.

- Kavitha, M., Srinivasan, R., & Kavitha, R. (2022). GIS Systems for Precision Agriculture and Site-Specific Farming. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003185413-5
- Ang, M. (2022). An integrated information system for on-farm precision agriculture experimentation data using machine learning approaches.
- Masud Cheema, M. J., & Khan, M. A. (2019). Information Technology for Sustainable Agriculture. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23169-9_19
- Delgado, J. A., Short, N. M., Roberts, D. P., & Vandenberg, B. (2019). Big Data Analysis for Sustainable Agriculture on a Geospatial Cloud Framework. https://doi.org/10.3389/FSUFS.2019.00054
- Colizzi, L., Caivano, D., Ardito, C., Desolda, G., Castrignanò, A., Matera, M., Khosla, R., Moshou, D., Hou, K. M., Pinet, F., Chanet, J.-P., Hui, G., & Shi, H. (2020).

Introduction to agricultural IoT. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818373-1.00001-9

- Sakthi, U., & Dafni Rose, J. (2020, August 1). Smart Agricultural Knowledge Discovery

 System using IoT Technology and Fog Computing.

 https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSSIT48917.2020.9214102
- Rakhra, M., & Singh, R. (2021). Smart data in innovative farming. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MATPR.2021.01.237
- Finger, R.. (2023). Digital innovations for sustainable and resilient agricultural systems. https://doi.org/10.1093/erae/jbad021
- El Bilali, H., Bottalico, F., Palmisano, G. O., & Capone, R. (2019). Information and Communication Technologies for Smart and Sustainable Agriculture. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-40049-1_41
- Mathew Jacob, P., Suresh, S., John, J. M., Nath, P., Nandakumar, P., & Simon, S. (2020, October 26). An Intelligent Agricultural Field Monitoring and Management System using Internet of Things and Machine Learning.

https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDABI51230.2020.9325612

- SARKAR, N. C., MONDAL, K., DAS, A., MUKHERJEE, A., MANDAL, S., GHOSH, S., BHATTACHARYA, B., LAWES, R., & HUDA, S. (2023). Enhancing livelihoods
 - in farming communities through super-resolution agromet advisories using advanced digital agriculture technologies. https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v25i1.2080
- Srivastava, A.. (2018). Technology Assisted Knowledge Agriculture for Sustainable Development Goals. https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-8863.1000391
- Ellis-Jones, J., Gondwe, T., Chibwe, T., Phiri, A., & Nhamo, N.. (2017). The Use of Integrated Research for Development in Promoting Climate Smart Technologies, the Process and Practice. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-810521-4.00008-6
- Fastellini, G., & Schillaci, C.. (2020). Precision farming and IoT case studies across the world. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818373-1.00007-X
- Chiappini, S., Galli, A., Malinverni, E. S., Zingaretti, P., Orsini, R., Fiorentini, M., & Zenobi, S.. (2019). An Ontology-Based Study for the Design of a Database for Data Management in Precision Farming. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-39299-4_87
- Simelton, E., & McCampbell, M. (2021). Do Digital Climate Services for Farmers Encourage Resilient Farming Practices? Pinpointing Gaps through the Responsible Research and Innovation Framework. https://doi.org/10.3390/AGRICULTURE11100953
- Checchinato F., Cinzia Colapinto, Vladi Finotto, Alena Myshko (2022). The role of innovative technologies in sustainability. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003223672-11
- Pandya, S., & Lal, S. P.. (2023). Bridging the Digital Divide in Agriculture: An Investigation
 to ICT Adoption for Sustainable Farming Practices in Banaskantha District of Gujarat, India. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2023/v13i92367
- Pandey, P. C., & Pandey, M. K.. (2023). Highlighting the role of agriculture and geospatial technology in food security and sustainable development goals. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2600
- Fleming, A., Jakku, E., Fielke, S., Taylor, B. V., Lacey, J., Terhorst, A., & Stitzlein, C.. (2021). Foresighting Australian digital agricultural futures: Applying responsible innovation thinking to anticipate research and development impact under different scenarios. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AGSY.2021.103120
- Reddy, M. N., & Rao, N. H.. (2009). Integrating geospatial information technologies and participatory methods in agricultural development.

- Mobushir R. K., Richard A. C., Naeem A. M., Lachlan O'Meara (2023). Applications of geospatial technologies for precision agriculture. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0443-18953-1.00004-0
- Md. Rayhan Shaheb, Ayesha Sarker, and Scott A. Shearer (2022). Precision Agriculture for
- Sustainable Soil and Crop Management. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.101759
- Mylonas, I., Stavrakoudis, D. G., Katsantonis, D., & Korpetis, E. G. (2020). Better farming practices to combat climate change. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-8195277.00001-7
- Ukhurebor, K. E., Adetunji, C. O., Olugbemi, O. T., Nwankwo, W., Olayinka, A. S., Umezuruike, C., & Hefft, D. I.. (2022). Precision agriculture: Weather forecasting for future farming. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-823694-9.00008-6
- Zella A.Y., Kitali L.J., Lusiru S.N., Malekela A.A., Msambichaka S., Nassor Z., and Ntaturo E., (2023). Adapting innovation of information and communication technologies to climate change risks for agriculture sustainability in central Tanzania. https://doi.org/10.53346/wjast.2023.3.1.0057
- Saber, M. (2022). Supporting users in data disclosure scenarios in agriculture through transparency. https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929x.2022.2068070 Raturi, A. (2022). Cultivating trust in technology-mediated sustainable agricultural research. https://doi.org/10.1002/agj2.20974
- Bökle, S., Paraforos, D. S., Reiser, D., & Griepentrog, H. W.. (2022). Conceptual framework of a decentral digital farming system for resilient and safe data management. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atech.2022.100039
- Sudhakar N.Y., Murali Krishna, I. Sapthami, Ch. Mallikarjuna Rao, D. V. Lalita Parameswari (2022).

 Sustainable Efficient Solutions for Smart Agriculture.

 https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003217404-9
- Hanson, W. P., & Heeks, R.. (2020). Impact of ICTs-in-Agriculture on Rural Resilience in Developing Countries. https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.3517468
- Kasim, K.. (2013). Resilience: Touching a Colourful Sky: Breaking the Mould of Linear Models of Innovation and Creating Innovative Learning Spaces for Social Change of Resilient Small Scale Farmers.
- Wan Nor Haliza, W. M., Tengku Adil, T. I., Muhamad Khairulnizam, Z., & Norhayati, H.. (2022). The Importance of Digital Literacy Skills among Farmers for Sustainable Food Security. https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarped/v11-i1/12104

- Das, U., Ansari, M. A., & Ghosh, S.. (n.d.). Effectiveness and upscaling potential of climate smart agriculture interventions: Farmers' participatory prioritization and livelihood indicators as its determinants. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2022.103515
- Dar, M. H., Waza, S. A., Nayak, S., Chakravorty, R., Zaidi, N. W., & Hossain, M. (n.d.). Gender focused training and knowledge enhances the adoption of climate resilient seeds.. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TECHSOC.2020.101388
- Kangogo, D., Dentoni, D., & Bijman, J.. (n.d.). Determinants of Farm Resilience to Climate Change: The Role of Farmer Entrepreneurship and Value Chain Collaborations. https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12030868
- Jamil, I., Jun, W., Mughal, B., Raza, M. H., Imran, M., & Waheed, A.. (n.d.). Does the adaptation of climate-smart agricultural practices increase farmers' resilience to climate change?. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11356-021-12425-8
- Internet of Things, Big Data Analytics, and Deep Learning for Sustainable Precision Agriculture. (n.d.). Internet of Things, Big Data Analytics, and Deep Learning for Sustainable Precision Agriculture. https://doi.org/10.23919/istafrica56635.2022.9845510
- Rahman, Z.. (n.d.). An innovation-cycle framework of integrated agricultural knowledge system and innovation for improving farmers climate change adaptation and risk mitigation capacities: A case of Bangladesh. https://doi.org/10.5897/JAERD2014
- Chandra, A.. (n.d.). Climate-smart agriculture in practice: insights from smallholder farmers, Timor-Leste and the Philippines, Southeast Asia.
- Dempewolf, H., Krishnan, R., & Guarino, L.. (n.d.). Our shared global responsibility:
 - Safeguarding crop diversity for future generations. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2205768119
- Pham, C. H.. (n.d.). RESILINK: increasing resilience of smallholders with multi-platforms linking localized resource sharing. https://doi.org/10.21622/resd.2022.08.1.030
- Muthoni Micheni, E., Kipchirchir Machii, J., & Murumba, J. (n.d.). Internet of Things, Big Data Analytics, and Deep Learning for Sustainable Precision Agriculture. https://doi.org/10.23919/IST-Africa56635.2022.9845510
- Zakari, S., Ouédraogo, M., Abasse, T., & Zougmoré, R. B.. (2019). Farmer's Prioritization and Adoption of Climate-Smart Agriculture (CSA) Technologies and Practices. https://doi.org/10.15640/JAES.V8N1A17

- Haworth, B. T., Biggs, E. M., Duncan, J., Wales, N., Boruff, B., & Bruce, E. (n.d.). Geographic Information and Communication Technologies for Supporting Smallholder
- Agriculture and Climate Resilience. https://doi.org/10.3390/CLI6040097
- Singh, D. K., Piplani, D., Nar, S., Karthik, S., Sharma, R., & Tiwari, A. (2015, January 1).
 - ICT platform for Climate Change Adaptation in agriculture. https://doi.org/10.1109/COMSNETS.2015.7098723
- Koubouris, G.. (n.d.). Advances in prediction, monitoring and mitigation of climate change effects on water resources and good agricultural practices for crop adaptation to environmental stresses. https://doi.org/10.2166/WCC.2018.208
- Bill, R., Nash, E., & Grenzdörffer, G. (2011). GIS in Agriculture. https://doi.org/10.1007/9783-540-72680-7_24
- Kourgialas, N. N.. (n.d.). Editorial: Could advances in geoinformatics, irrigation management and climate adaptive agronomic practices ensure the sustainability of water supply in agriculture?. https://doi.org/10.2166/WS.2021.244
- Neményi, M., Kovács, A., Oláh, J., Popp, J., Erdei, E., Harsányi, E. G., Ambrus, B., Teschner, G., & Nyéki, A.. (n.d.). Challenges of sustainable agricultural development with special regard to Internet of Things: Survey. https://doi.org/10.1556/446.2022.00053
- Sarmah, K., Deka, C. R., Sharma, U., & Sarma, R.. (2018). Role of GIS Based Technologies in Sustainable Agriculture Resource Planning & Management Using Spatial Decision Support Approach. https://doi.org/10.21276/IJIREM.2018.5.1.7
- Jones, M. (n.d.). Data integration issues for a farm GIS-based spatial decision support system.
- Nazarov, D., Shvedov, V., & Lyashenko, E. (n.d.). The Implementation and Effectiveness of geographic information systems and Location Intelligence technology in digital agriculture. https://doi.org/10.2991/ISPC-19.2019.99
- Zhang, A., Heath, R., McRobert, K., Llewellyn, R., Sanderson, J., Wiseman, L., & Rainbow, R. (n.d.). Who will benefit from big data? Farmers' perspective on willingness to share farm data. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JRURSTUD.2021.08.006

- Marshall, A., Turner, K., Richards, C., Foth, M., & Dezuanni, M. (n.d.). Rural Data Divides: Critical Factors of Digital AgTech Adoption on Australian Farms. https://doi.org/10.5210/SPIR.V2021I0.12206
- Duncan, E. J., Rotz, S., Magnan, A., & Bronson, K.. (n.d.). Disciplining land through data: The role agricultural technologies in farmland assetization. https://doi.org/10.1111/soru.12369
- Fadul-Pacheco, L., Wangen, S. R., da Silva, T. E., & Cabrera, V. E.. (n.d.). Addressing Data Bottlenecks in the Dairy Farm Industry. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12060721
- Atik, C.. (n.d.). Towards Comprehensive European Agricultural Data Governance: Moving Beyond the "Data Ownership" Debate. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40319-022-01191w
- Adereti, D. T., Gardezi, M., Wang, T., & McMaine, J. T.. (n.d.). Understanding farmers'
 engagement and barrier to machine learning-based intelligent agricultural decision support systems.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/agj2.21358
- Mathenge, M., Sonneveld, B. G. J. S., & Broerse, J. E. W.. (2022). Application of GIS in

Agriculture Sustainability: A Systematic Review. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14169974

Agriculture in Promoting Evidence-Informed Decision Making for Improving

Jonathan McFadden, Francesca Casalini, Terry Griffin and Jesús Antón The digitalisation of agriculture. (2022). The digitalisation of agriculture. https://doi.org/10.1787/285cc27d-en